

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/763,974 01/22/2004		01/22/2004	Hassan Pajouhesh	381092001600	7894		
25225	7590	05/22/2006		EXAMINER			
		ERSTER LLP	MORRIS, PATRICIA L				
12531 HIG SUITE 100		DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SAN DIEG	O, CA 9	2130-2040	1625				
				DATE MAILED: 05/22/2004	DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	0.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Author October	10/763,974		PAJOUHESH ET	AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Patricia L. Mori		1625					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS C CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho tion. y period will apply and will expi by statute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be tim re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	I. nety filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n .							
		 ☐ This action is non-fi	nal.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election require	ment.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachman	He)								
Attachment 1) Notice	u(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	۸۰ ۲	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9)48)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Page 1975 Other:	atent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/763,974 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

The variations in W,A^1-A^3 , etc., produce patentably distinct compounds capable of independent use.

This application has been found to contain more than one invention. Therefore, restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. The instances drawn to the compounds of formula (I) wherein no other heterocycle is present, classified in class 548, various subclasses.
- II. The instances drawn to compounds of formula (II) wherein no other heterocycle is present, classified in class 548, various subclasses.
- III. The instances drawn to compounds of formula (I) wherein W is L²A³ and A³ is pyridyl and no additional heterocycle is present, classified in class 546, subclass 276.4.
- IV. The instances drawn to compounds of formula (I) wherein W is L²A³ wherein A³ is piperidine and no additional heterocycle is present, classified in class 546, subclass 193+.
- V. Any compound not grouped in Groups I-IV since claim 1 is too vague to further Group.
- VI. Claims 18-20, drawn to multiple uses, classified in class 514, various subclasses.

 These inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/763,974

Art Unit: 1625

These distinct inventions have acquired separate status in the art, will support separate patents, and will require different fields of search for the respective inventions. Accordingly, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is considered proper; 35 U.S.C. 121; 37 CFR 1.141; 37 CFR 1.142.

Inventions I- V are drawn to patentably distinct compounds.

A Markush-type claim is directed to Aindependent and distinct inventions, if two or more of its members are so unrelated and diverse that a prior art reference anticipating the claim with respect to one of the members would not render the claim obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103 with respect to the other member(s). <u>In re Weber</u>, 198 USPQ 330, footnote 3.

A reference to a pyrimidine here would not be a reference to a pyidine. When one writes out the entire compound, as a whole, one arrives at patentably distinct heterocyclic compounds, along the lines indicated in the Groups of the first page of this action. Distinct, independent, heterocyclic nuclei.

Independent means the compound is capable of being utilized alone, not in combination with other compounds listed in the Markush expression; MPEP 802.01.

If the members are so diverse that they will support separate patents, *i.e.*, a reference for one would not constitute a reference for the other, then restriction is considered proper.

MPEP 2173.05(h).

Inventions I-V and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1625

§ 806.05(h). In the instant case the products as claimed can be used in materially different processes as evidenced by applicants' own claims and specification.

In the event of an election of either Groups I, II, III, IV or V, applicants are required to elect a single disclosed species representative of the claimed invention since the variations in L¹, L², A¹, A², A³, cy, etc., encompass additional heterocycles classified in classes 540, 544, 546 and 548, various subclasses. The staggering arrangement of possibilities does not permit classification of the claimed subject matter. Each heterocycle represents an independent and patentably distinct invention.

Should applicant(s) traverse on the ground that the species inventions identified are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the above identified species inventions to be obvious variants, or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, of traverse, if the examiner finds one of the inventions in the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.

Claims 1-17 will be examined to the extent readable on the elected compounds.

In the event of an election of Group VI, applicants are required to elect a single disclosed method of use, i.e., a specific disease.

It is too burdensome for the examiner to search all of the previously noted searches in their respective, completely divergent, areas for the non-elected subject matter, as well, in the limited time provided to search one invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,974

Art Unit: 1625

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In, <u>In re Weber</u>, 198 USPQ 332, <u>In re Hengehold</u>, 169 USPQ 473, was noted for the proposition that as long as applicants have maintained the right (as they do here) to file the non-elected subject matter in divisional applications, then restriction is proper, as to that point.

Applicant may file the divisional subject matter noted in divisional applications. If applicant wishes a generic expression of the elected invention the claims here need be amended to reflect that election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

This restriction requirement is being written as previous experience has indicated that with Foreign applicants and the inherent time delays, applicants' representative is better able to make an informed, correct, election of the invention applicants would wish to have prosecuted here if applicants are given the opportunity to see the restriction requirement laid out, and given the time to make an informed decision.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Morris whose telephone number is (571) 272-0688. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Morris
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1625

plm May 17, 2006